Thermochimica Acta 472 (2008) 41-45

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Thermochimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tca



# Thermotropic phase behavior in aqueous mixtures of dioctadecyldimethylammonium bromide and alkyltrimethylammonium bromide surfactant series studied by differential scanning calorimetry

# Fernanda Rosa Alves, Eloi Feitosa\*

Physics Department, IBILCE/UNESP, Rua Cristovao Colombo 2265, Sao Paulo State University, Sao Jose do Rio Preto, CEP: 15054-000 SP, Brazil

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 November 2007 Received in revised form 5 March 2008 Accepted 10 March 2008 Available online 30 March 2008

Keywords: DODAB C<sub>n</sub>TAB DSC Melting temperature Vesicles Micelles

# ABSTRACT

The effect of the micelle-forming surfactant series alkyltrimethylammonium bromide ( $C_n$ TAB, n = 12, 14, 16 and 18) on the thermotropic phase behavior of dioctadecyldimethylammonium bromide (DODAB) vesicles in water was investigated by differential scanning calorimetry at constant 5.0 mM total surfactant concentration and varying individual surfactant concentrations. The pre-, post- and main transition temperatures ( $T_s$ ,  $T_p$  and  $T_m$ ), melting enthalpy ( $\Delta H$ ) and peak width of the main transition ( $\Delta T_{1/2}$ ) are reported as a function of the surfactant molar fraction. No clear dependence of these parameters on the  $C_n$ TAB chain length was found. At 5 mM, neat DODAB in water exhibits two transition temperatures,  $T_s = 32.1$  and  $T_m = 42.7$  °C, as obtained from the DSC upscans, but not a clear  $T_p$ . For every n, except n = 12,  $T_s$  vanishes as  $C_n$ TAB concentration increases and approaches CMC.  $T_m$  behaves differently for different n, the longer  $C_{14}$ TAB and  $C_{16}$ TAB decrease, while  $C_{18}$ TAB increases  $T_m$  with increasing concentration. The data indicate that changes in  $T_m$ ,  $T_p$  and  $\Delta H$  of the transition are related not only to the extent of  $C_n$ TAB definity to DODAB but also to the surfactant chain length. Accordingly,  $C_{18}$ TAB yields a more compact bilayer, thus increasing  $T_m$ , while  $C_{14}$ TAB and  $C_{16}$ TAB yield a less organized bilayer and reduce  $T_m$ .  $C_{12}$ TAB does not much affect  $T_s$  and  $T_m$ , although it yields  $T_p \approx 51.6$  °C.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Unilamellar vesicles consist of a lipid bilayer separating an aqueous solution from the bulk phase, forming roughly spherical structures with an inner aqueous core [1,2]. In general, the vesicle characteristics, such as size and stability, depend on the method of preparation. Vesicles can be prepared by sonication, extrusion, surfactant removal or spontaneously, that is, without sonication or extrusion [1,2]. Owing to their structural similarity to cell membranes, vesicles have attracted considerable interest as membrane models [1-4] and as drug delivery vehicles. Cationic vesicles are appropriate for DNA compaction [2]. On heating, the vesicle bilayer exhibits a transition temperature  $(T_m)$  characteristic of the system, ascribed to a gel to liquid crystalline state transition [3,4]. Vesicles may also present pre- and post-transition temperatures,  $T_{\rm s}$  and  $T_{\rm p}$ , respectively. These temperatures can be monitored to gain insight on the vesicle structure in different media and conditions.

In excess water, dioctadecyldimethylammonium bromide (DODAB) molecules assemble spontaneously above  $T_m \approx 43$  °C and at low concentrations (typically 1.0 mM) as large unilamellar vesicles, that is, without sonication or extrusion [5,6]. At higher concentrations, in addition to unilamellar vesicles, they assemble as more complex structures such as multilamellar and multistructural vesicles yielding additional transitions [7,8]. The structural organization and properties of DODAB vesicles thus depend on the surfactant concentration, solvent and vesicle preparation method [5–10]. Up to 5 mM DODAB, however, the concentration has a minor effect on the transition temperatures [5].

Co-surfactants may have pronounced effects on the vesicle properties owing to their solubilization into the vesicle bilayer that changes the bilayer fluidity, thus affecting the transition temperatures. Anionic, nonionic and zwitterionic surfactants reduce the  $T_{\rm m}$  of DODAB [11–13]. Cationic surfactants, however, may increase, decrease or leave constant DODAB  $T_{\rm m}$  [14,15].

Except for  $C_{12}$ TAB, the CMC (critical micellar concentration) of  $C_n$ TAB (n = 14, 16 and 18) is below 5 mM, and these surfactants interact with DODAB vesicles either as monomers or micelles. The CMC of  $C_n$ TAB in water is 15.2, 3.98, 1.00 and 0.35 mM, for n = 12, 14, 16 and 18, respectively [16]. The Krafft temperature, on the other

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 17 3221 22 40; fax: +55 17 3221 22 47. *E-mail address*: eloi@ibilce.unesp.br (E. Feitosa).

<sup>0040-6031/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2008.03.017

hand, increases almost linearly with the chain length [17]. DODAB exhibits a critical vesicle concentration (CVC) close to zero [6]. Thus, in the experiments the  $C_n$ TAB concentration is either below or above CMC, whereas DODAB concentration is always above CVC. In that way, the system is appropriate to investigate the effect of  $C_n$ TAB monomers and micelles on DODAB vesicles.

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of the chain length of  $C_n$ TAB (n = 12, 14, 16 and 18) on the thermotropic phase behavior of DODAB vesicles at constant 5.0 mM total surfactant concentration and varying surfactant ratio.

#### 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Materials

DODAB was purchased from Aldrich. Hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide ( $C_{16}$ TAB) was supplied by Sigma, whereas dodecyl-( $C_{12}$ TAB), tetradecyl-( $C_{14}$ TAB) and octadecyltrimethylammonium ( $C_{18}$ TAB) bromides were obtained from Fluka. All surfactants were used as received. Ultrapure water of Milli-Q-Plus quality was used in sample preparations.

### 2.2. Sample preparation

DODAB and  $C_n$ TAB dispersions were prepared by simple dilution of 5.0 mM surfactant in water at room temperature (25 °C). For complete dissolution DODAB and  $C_{18}$ TAB aqueous mixtures were warmed to 60 and 45 °C, respectively, that is, above  $T_m \approx 43$  °C [6] and the Krafft temperatures ( $T_k \approx 38$  °C) [18] of DODAB and  $C_{18}$ TAB. All the other dispersions of the  $C_n$ TAB surfactants were prepared by simply mixing the appropriate amount of the surfactant at room temperature (25 °C), since at this temperature they are above  $T_k$  [17].

Mixed DODAB/ $C_n$ TAB aqueous dispersions were prepared by mixing appropriate volumes of the 5.0 mM dispersions of these surfactants to have 5.0 mM total surfactant concentration and varying rations of the individual surfactant concentrations. The data are presented as a function of the molar fraction of surfactant. For example, for the mixture of DODAB and  $C_n$ TAB, the molar fraction of DODAB is  $x_{\text{DODAB}} = [\text{DODAB}]/[\text{TOTAL}]$ , where brackets account for molar concentration and [TOTAL] = 5.0 mM.

#### 2.3. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

A VP-DSC (MicroCal, Northampton, MA) calorimeter with 0.542 ml twin cells for the reference and sample solutions was used to obtain the thermograms. Measurements were performed at a scan rate of 1 °C/min from 5 to 80 °C allowing the system to be either below or above the Krafft temperature of the C<sub>n</sub>TAB/DODAB/water system, especially for n = 16 and 18. Prior the DSC experiments the samples were left standing at 25 °C for at least 48 h to check for precipitate formation. Precipitate formation was observed only for the C<sub>18</sub>TAB-containing system, as indicated by the phase diagram shown in Fig. 8 of the supplementary material. Those samples that formed precipitates were warmed to become clear before being transferred to the DSC cell. After the experiments the samples were left standing for months at 25 °C to check for precipitate formation. Once again, only the C<sub>18</sub>TAB-containing system exhibited precipitates. The baseline reference was obtained with both cells filled with water. The melting temperature ( $T_{\rm m}$ , i.e., the temperature of the peak maximum), and melting enthalpy ( $\Delta H$ ) were determined from the peak area, and the cooperativity of the transition by the peak width ( $\Delta T_{1/2}$ ) at the peak half height. For the pre- and posttransition peaks, only the transition temperatures  $T_s$  and  $T_p$  were obtained because they were not well defined. MicroCal Origin, v.5.0, was used for data acquisition and analyses. Further details on the DSC can be found in previous publications [5,8,13,19].

#### 3. Results and discussion

At 5.0 mM  $T_{\rm m} \approx 43 \,^{\circ}$ C of neat DODAB is the same as that of 1.0 mM often investigated [5]. At 5.0 mM DODAB, more pronounced pre- and post-transitions were expected, which could be used to investigate the effects of  $C_n$ TAB. However, the DSC traces in Figs. 1 and 2 reveal that DODAB concentration has little effect on the size of these peaks.

The melting temperature  $T_m$ , enthalpy  $\Delta H$  and peak width  $\Delta T_{1/2}$  are shown in Figs. 3–5, as functions of the  $C_n$ TAB molar fraction ( $x_{C_nTAB}$ ). The neat DODAB dispersion ( $x_{DODAB} = 1.0$ ) exhibits two endothermic transition temperatures,  $T_s = 32.1 \,^{\circ}$ C and  $T_m = 42.7 \,^{\circ}$ C. The post-transition temperature ( $T_p$ ) reported in previous publications [5,8,19] does not appear even in the enlarged curves, although it is induced by the presence of  $C_{12}$ TAB, as Fig. 9 in the supplementary material indicates.  $C_{12}$ TAB reduces slightly and linearly



**Fig. 1.** DSC upscan thermograms from mixtures of DODAB/C<sub>n</sub>TAB/water, n = 12, 14, 16 and 18, 5.0 mM total surfactant concentration, and  $x_{DODAB} = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1.0$ , as indicated. To avoid overlap, the curves were offset by  $0.015 \text{ J}^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$  from each other.



**Fig. 2.** Same as Fig. 1, except for the enlarged traces around the pre-transition temperature  $T_s$ .



**Fig. 3.** The effect of  $C_n$  TAB molar fraction ( $x_{C_n TAB}$ ) on the melting temperature  $T_m$  of the mixed cationic  $C_n$  TAB-DODAB vesicles in water.



**Fig. 4.** The effect of  $C_n$ TAB molar fraction ( $x_{C_n$ TAB}) on the width peak at half-height  $\Delta T_{1/2}$  of the mixed cationic  $C_n$ TAB-DODAB vesicles in water.

 $T_{\rm p}$ , yielding  $T_{\rm p}$  = 51.6 °C upon extrapolation of the fitting curve to zero C<sub>12</sub>TAB concentration (Fig. 10 in the supplementary material), in good agreement with previously reported  $T_{\rm p} \approx 53$  °C [5,8,19].

The enlarged thermograms around the pre-transition temperature (Fig. 2) indicate that the longer the  $C_n$ TAB chain length, the stronger the inhibition of the pre-transition peak.  $C_{12}$ TAB thus exhibits minor effect on  $T_s$  (Fig. 6), probably because it is already in a more disordered state. The post-transition temperature ( $T_p$ ) can only be poorly discerned for the thermograms of the  $C_{12}$ TABcontaining systems (Fig. 9 in supplementary material), but cannot be seen for n = 14-18. Furthermore,  $T_p$  decreases only slightly and linearly with  $C_{12}$ TAB concentration (Fig. 10 in the supplementary materials).

The DSC curves (Figs. 1 and 2) indicate that  $T_s$  is rather sensitive to  $C_n$ TAB concentration and chain length.  $T_s$  vanishes when  $x_{C_{18}TAB} < 0.1$ ,  $x_{C_{16}TAB} \approx 0.2$  and  $x_{C_{14}TAB} \approx 0.6$ , whereas  $C_{12}$ TAB does not inhibit the pre-transition at all. Even though the  $T_s$  values are not well defined, because the peaks are very weak, they clearly indicate that the pre-transition is inhibited around the surfactant CMC.



**Fig. 5.** The effect of  $C_n$ TAB molar fraction ( $x_{C_n$ TAB}) on the melting enthalpy  $\Delta H$  of the mixed cationic  $C_n$ TAB-DODAB vesicles in water.



**Fig. 6.** The effect of  $C_n$  TAB molar fraction ( $x_{C_n TAB}$ ) on the pre-transition temperature  $T_s$  of the mixed cationic  $C_n$  TAB-DODAB vesicles in water.

Since  $T_s$  exists only when  $C_n$  TAB concentration is below CMC, a fraction of monomers might be solubilized in the vesicle bilayer to form mixed  $C_n$  TAB-DODAB vesicles; above CMC the  $C_n$  TAB monomers have more affinity to the micelle, rather than the vesicle structure.

The main peak position in the thermograms gives the melting temperature ( $T_m$ ), shown in Fig. 3 as a function of  $x_{C_nTAB}$ . Up to  $x_{C_{18}TAB} \approx 0.6$ ,  $T_m$  increases to a maximum value about 47.2 °C, meaning that  $C_{18}TAB$  stabilizes the gel state of the DODAB-based vesicles, due to the solubilization of monomers into the DODAB bilayer, as reported for 1.0 mM total surfactant concentration [15]. Above this concentration,  $T_m$  decreases to values even higher than that for neat DODAB in water. Note that around 0.2–0.3  $C_{18}TAB$  there are two melting temperatures due to solubilization of  $C_{18}TAB$  there are two different vesicle populations, as reported [7,15]. The opposite effect was observed for  $C_{14}TAB$  and  $C_{16}TAB$  that shift  $T_m$  downward in temperature.  $C_{12}TAB$  does not much affect  $T_m$  of DODAB, indicating weaker interaction.

The main transition, which is related to the melting of the surfactant hydrocarbon chains, is influenced by perturbation caused by  $C_n$ TAB molecules solubilized into the vesicle bilayer. The bell-shaped variation of  $T_m$  with increasing  $x_{C_n$ TAB (Fig. 3) indicates that the mixed  $C_{18}$ TAB-DODAB bilayer is more densely packed than that of neat DODAB. In presence of  $C_{14}$ TAB and  $C_{16}$ TAB the chains become more mobile, increasing the disorder of the surfactant chains, thus reducing  $T_m$ . The shorter  $C_{12}$ TAB molecules do not much affect  $T_m$ ,  $T_s$  or  $T_p$  since they stay as monomers in solution below CMC = 15.2 mM [19] or, alternatively, the solubilized monomers are short enough to disturb the vesicle bilayers.

According to Fig. 3, the effect of  $C_nTAB$  chain length on  $T_m$  depends on the relative amount of the surfactants. First,  $C_{12}TAB$  does not affect  $T_m$  because its concentration is lower than CMC. Up to  $x_{C_nTAB} \approx 0.1$  there is no effect of  $C_nTAB$  on  $T_m$ . Above this point and up to  $x_{C_nTAB} \approx 0.6$  both  $C_{14}TAB$  and  $C_{16}TAB$  decrease  $T_m$  with a slightly stronger effect of  $C_{16}TAB$ , whereas  $C_{18}TAB$  increases  $T_m$  to a maximum value around 47 °C. Beyond  $x_{C_nTAB} \approx 0.6 C_{14}TAB$  exhibits a stronger decreasing effect on  $T_m$  relative to  $C_{16}TAB$ .  $C_{18}TAB$  also decreases  $T_m$ , however, to a value (45 °C) higher than that of neat DODAB (43 °C). These data indicate that there is no clear relationship between  $T_m$  and the  $C_nTAB$  chain length, with  $C_{18}TAB$  exhibiting the unusual behavior of increasing  $T_m$ . Overall, surfactants reduce  $T_m$  [11,13,14].

Fig. 4 shows the effect of the surfactant molar fraction on the width of the main transition peaks,  $\Delta T_{1/2}$ .  $\Delta T_{1/2}$  does not vary much with  $x_{C_{12}TAB}$ , indicating that the transition is rather cooperative, that is, it takes place in a rather narrow range of temperature, between



**Fig. 7.** DSC upscan thermograms from selected mixtures of C<sub>n</sub>TAB-DODAB in water, indicating peak overlaps.

1.5 and 2.0°C. The broadening of  $\Delta T_{1/2}$  on addition of  $C_n$ TAB, for n = 14-18, is due to peak overlaps.  $C_{18}$ TAB and  $C_{14}$ TAB broaden the peaks at low and high concentrations, respectively, while  $C_{16}$ TAB broaden the peaks both at low and high concentrations.

The peak overlap is due to sharp transitions that occur in a narrow range of temperature due to the vesicle polydispersity, since the vesicle curvature affects  $T_{\rm m}$  [5]. The appearance of the extra peak at  $x_{\rm C_{18}TAB} = 0.5$  has been attributed to a vesicle population rich in C<sub>18</sub>TAB in addition to the ordinary DODAB-rich vesicles [15]. A detailed analysis of the broader peaks clearly indicates peak overlaps (Fig. 7). Surprisingly this figure also indicates that even the narrower peaks for neat DODAB and the C<sub>12</sub>TAB-DODAB vesicles are composed of peak overlaps. Such overlaps were attributed to different populations of DODAB vesicles differing mainly in size (rather than in structure) to explain the effect of C<sub>18</sub>TAB on increasing  $T_{\rm m}$  [15].

In the presence of a small amount of DODAB, broad (overlapped) peaks appear (Fig. 11, supplementary material) owing to multiple transitions in the  $C_{16}$ TAB- and  $C_{18}$ TAB-containing systems. This is the usual behavior of higher amount of cosurfactants, such as  $C_n$ TAB, since they exhibit specific transitions themselves [15]. Transitions for  $C_{18}$ TAB in water were previously reported indicating that this surfactant assembles as a bilayer in addition to micelle structures [15,18].

The enthalpy change ( $\Delta H$ ) associated to the main transition for neat DODAB in water lies between 43 and 51.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5) in good agreement with data previously reported for similar systems [8,11,19]. Accordingly,  $\Delta H$  decreases with increasing  $x_{C_nTAB}$ , indicating that the addition of  $C_nTAB$  favors the main transition. The data, however, indicate no clear relationship between  $\Delta H$  and the chain length.

## 4. Conclusions

The thermotropic phase behavior of the aqueous mixtures of DODAB and  $C_n$ TAB (for n = 12-18) was investigated by DSC at 5.0 mM total surfactant concentration and varying surfactant ratios. The systems allow the investigation of the  $C_n$ TAB-DODAB vesicle interaction below and above CMC. The results indicate that not only the chain length, but also the relative concentration of  $C_n$ TAB influences the main-, pre- and post-transition temperatures ( $T_m$ ,  $T_s$  and  $T_p$ ). Accordingly, vesicles are formed even in the presence of very small amounts of DODAB (high amount of  $C_n$ TAB).  $C_{12}$ TAB does not

affect  $T_{\rm m}$  and  $T_{\rm s}$  since its concentration is much lower than CMC. It yields, however, a  $T_{\rm p} \approx 51.6$  °C that cannot be viewed in the DSC traces (Fig. 1) for neat DODAB. For n = 14-18, upon increasing  $C_n$ TAB concentration the pre-transition is completely inhibited when concentration approaches CMC.  $C_{14}$ TAB and  $C_{16}$ TAB decrease, whereas  $C_{18}$ TAB increases  $T_{\rm m}$ . According to the peak width, for all  $C_{12}$ TAB-DODAB mixtures the main transition is cooperative even though it is composed of overlapped narrow peaks, for larger n the peaks are broader owing as well to peak overlaps. The melting enthalpy is lowered on increasing  $C_n$ TAB concentration, indicating that the surfactant favors the melting transition. The  $C_n$ TAB-DODAB systems thus offer excellent opportunity to deal with mixed cationic vesicles with well-defined characteristics and high potential application as membrane mimetic systems as well as vehicle for drug delivery.

#### Acknowledgements

FRA and EF acknowledge CNPq for PhD and research (Grant 304543/2006-3) grants, respectively. Dr. W. Loh (UNICAMP) is acknowledged for kindly supplying the DSC equipment.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tca.2008.03.017.

#### References

- [1] J.H. Fendler, Membrane Mimetic Chemistry, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1982.
- [2] D.D. Lasic, Liposomes. From Physics to Applications, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [3] G. Cevec, D. Marsh, Phospholipid Bilayers-Physical Principles and Models, v. 5, New York, 1987.
- [4] J.B.F.N. Engberts, D. Hoeskstra, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1241 (1995) 323-340.
- [5] E. Feitosa, P.C.A. Barreleiro, G. Olofsson, Chem. Phys. Lipids 105 (2000) 201-213.
- [6] E. Feitosa, W. Brown, Langmuir 13 (1997) 4810–4816.
- [7] E. Feitosa, G. Karlsson, K. Edwards, Chem. Phys. Lipids 140 (2006) 66–74.
  [8] E. Feitosa, P.C.A. Barreleiro, Progr. Colloid Polym. Sci. 128 (2004) 163–168.
- [9] C.R. Benatti, E. Feitosa, R.M. Fernandez, M.T. Lamy-Freund, Chem. Phys. Lipids 111 (2001) 93–104.
- [10] R.O. Brito, E.F. Marques, Chem. Phys. Lipids 137 (2005) 18–28.
- [11] E. Feitosa, N.M. Bonassi, W. Loh, Langmuir 22 (2006) 4512-4517.
- [12] J. Cocquyt, U. Olsson, G. Olofsson, P. Van der Meeren, Langmuir 20 (2004) 3906-3912.
- [13] P.C.A. Barreleiro, G. Olofsson, W. Brown, K. Edwards, N.M. Bonassi, E. Feitosa, Langmuir 18 (2002) 1024–1029.
- [14] A. Kacperska, J. Thermochem. Anal. 45 (1995) 703–714.
- [15] F.R. Alves, M.E.D. Zaniquelli, W. Loh, E.M.S. Castanheira, M.E.C.D. Real Oliveira, E. Feitosa, J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 316 (2007) 132–139.
- [16] J. Mata, D. Varade, P. Bahadur, Thermochim. Acta 428 (2005) 147-155.
- [17] T.W. Davey, W.A. Ducker, A.R. Hayman, J. Simpson, Langmuir 14 (1998) 3210–3213.
- [18] M. Swanson-Vethamuthu, E. Feitosa, W. Brown, Langmuir 14 (1998) 1590-1596.
- [19] E. Feitosa, F.R. Alves, A. Niemiec, M.E.C.D. Real Oliveira, E.M.S. Castanheira, A.L.F. Baptista, Langmuir 22 (2006) 3579–3585.